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When everything goes ‘arse up,’ NZ’ers come out on top.
Outline

- Solar flares: observations and theories
- Reconnecting current sheets: basic properties
- Self-similar solutions for current sheet formation in 2D magnetohydrodynamics (MHD) and Hall MHD
- Finite-time singularities in Hall MHD
GOES X-ray image of a solar flare (9/9/2005)
TRACE close-up of the same flare
The flaring solar corona (Yohkoh X-ray image)
SDO EUV image of an eruption (31/08/2012)
Why study solar flares?

1. Solar flares have a direct effect on the Earth.

- Energetic particles, accelerated in solar flares, are dangerous to astronauts and to electronic instruments in space.
- The atmosphere expands due to heating by the flare radiation, increasing the drag on the satellites orbiting around the Earth.
- Plasma density variations in the ionosphere can impact high-frequency radio communication and GPS navigation systems.
- Power grid vulnerability, pipeline corrosion, ... 

2. Flare-like energy release processes take place on other stars and in accretion disks.
**Energy release in flares**

Flare:
impulsive release of magnetic energy as radiation, heat,
fast flows and particles in the lower corona of the Sun.

Total flare energy up to $\mathcal{E} \simeq 10^{32}$ erg:

$$B = 100 \text{ G}, \quad L = 10^{10} \text{ cm} \quad \implies \quad \frac{B^2}{8\pi} L^3 \geq \mathcal{E}$$

Flare time $t_f \simeq 10^3$ s:

$$t_f \leq 100 \ t_A, \quad t_A = \frac{L}{v_A}, \quad v_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho}}$$
Resistive energy release rate

\[ W = I^2 R = I^2 \frac{L}{S} \eta \]

\[ I \sim BL, \quad S \simeq L^2 \implies W \sim \eta \]

Problem: \( \eta \sim T^{-3/2} \implies W \) is too small.

Solution: flatten \( I \) into a sheet.

\[ l \ll L, \quad S \simeq lL \implies W \sim \frac{\eta}{l} \]

The famous Sweet–Parker (1957) scaling:

\[ l \sim W \sim \eta^{1/2} \]
Reconnecting current sheet
Solar flare geometry

(Sturrock, 1968)
Observational evidence for reconnection

(McKenzie, 2001)
RHESSI X-ray images of a current sheet

(Sui and Holman, 2003)
Flare geometry in three dimensions

(Machado et al., 1983)
Hugh Hudson’s archive of flare cartoons

Grand Archive of Flare and CME Cartoons

June 21, 2012

Why an archive? Why a cartoon?

Cartoons play an important role in discussions of how solar flares and CMEs work. These discussions may take place in august forums, or in pubs at any point around the solar world (see bar bets). In place of a self-consistent theory, a cartoon is often the only way to guess how different features of an event might be related. At the bottom of this page we have a random selection from each of three categories of cartoons. To scroll through the Archive randomly, simply use the "refresh" button on the browser. To view it systematically, click on the names below or look at the (chronological) overview or the matrix-style displays of thumbnail images. These are large pages and require broadband access to load properly. Each cartoon page should have some (often loose) information about its origin and a link to the published paper. Here are links to the gaudiest cartoon and to my favorite.

If you have contributions, preferably with nice digital versions of published cartoons, please send them to me at lhudson@ssl.berkeley.edu. This Archive grows with time, and you help this accretion by pointing out omissions of colorful, influential, or timely cartoons. Note that as the years have passed there has been some mission creep, such that there are some items only tangentially related to flares as such. Of course if you see errors in what I've written about any of these, please let me hear.

Direct links to the toons individually by author’s name

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Giovaneli</th>
<th>Alfvén</th>
<th>Dungey</th>
<th>Sweet</th>
<th>Piddington</th>
<th>Ellison</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gold-Hoyle</td>
<td>Gold</td>
<td>Anderson-Winckler</td>
<td>Gold_CME</td>
<td>De_Jager_62</td>
<td>Carmichael</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>De_Jager-Kundu</td>
<td>Byrne</td>
<td>Kundu</td>
<td>Sturrock</td>
<td>Alfvén-Carlyvet</td>
<td>Hyder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Krivek</td>
<td>Newkirk-Harvey</td>
<td>Jokimi-Parker</td>
<td>Naitia-Orrell</td>
<td>Lin</td>
<td>Chiu</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
More flare cartoons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kane-Donnelly</th>
<th>Wild-Smerd</th>
<th>Palmer-Smerd</th>
<th>Strauss-Papagiannis</th>
<th>McLean</th>
<th>Tindo</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vorpahl</td>
<td>Elliot</td>
<td>Hirayama</td>
<td>Piddington_74</td>
<td>Bratenahl-Baum</td>
<td>Ohayashi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Svalgaard-Wilcox</td>
<td>Brown-Hoyng</td>
<td>Kopp-Penuan</td>
<td>Hoyng</td>
<td>Spicer</td>
<td>Kosagi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syrovatskii</td>
<td>Anzer-Penman</td>
<td>Cliver</td>
<td>Parker</td>
<td>Cargill-Priest</td>
<td>De_Jager_83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sprangle-Vlahos</td>
<td>Nakajima</td>
<td>Ionson</td>
<td>Uchida-Sakurai</td>
<td>Heyvaerts</td>
<td>Pikelnik-Lyshits</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colgate</td>
<td>Melrose-White</td>
<td>Akaroifi</td>
<td>Emslie-Vlahos</td>
<td>Priest-Milne</td>
<td>Svertka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moore-Labonte</td>
<td>De Jager</td>
<td>Batchelor</td>
<td>Dowdy</td>
<td>Somov</td>
<td>Forsee-Malherbe</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanaka</td>
<td>Anderson-Dougherty</td>
<td>Schmieder</td>
<td>Tauneta-Naito</td>
<td>Wang</td>
<td>Uchida-Shibata</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cane</td>
<td>Machado</td>
<td>Martene-Kuin</td>
<td>Van Ballegoijen-Martens</td>
<td>Sakurai</td>
<td>Sturrock_90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinell</td>
<td>Raoul</td>
<td>Morris</td>
<td>Reames</td>
<td>Simnett-Haines</td>
<td>Bespalov</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winglee</td>
<td>Melrose_92</td>
<td>Podgornyi-Podgornyi</td>
<td>LaRosa-Moore</td>
<td>Vlahos</td>
<td>Wheatland-Melrose</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harendel</td>
<td>Song-Lyvak</td>
<td>Gosling, Bim and Hesse</td>
<td>Forbes-Acton</td>
<td>Melrose</td>
<td>Somov-Kosugi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Even more ...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Neidig</th>
<th>Li_97</th>
<th>Magara</th>
<th>Canfield-Reardon</th>
<th>Shibata</th>
<th>Duncan</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Canfield</td>
<td>Tsnäsa</td>
<td>Klimchuk</td>
<td>Aechwanden-Benz</td>
<td>Moore</td>
<td>Hanaoka</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antiochos</td>
<td>Jakimiec</td>
<td>McKenzie-Hudson</td>
<td>Titov-Demoulin</td>
<td>Sturrock_99</td>
<td>Berger</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fisk</td>
<td>Cecatto</td>
<td>Orlando</td>
<td>Hudson</td>
<td>Longcope-Welsch</td>
<td>Aechwanden</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin-Forber</td>
<td>Forbes</td>
<td>Gilbert</td>
<td>Longcope</td>
<td>Shimojo-Shibata</td>
<td>Delanée</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wygant</td>
<td>Aulanier</td>
<td>Montimerle</td>
<td>Lindsey-Braun</td>
<td>Sturrock_01</td>
<td>Fletcher et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shimojo</td>
<td>Low</td>
<td>Crocker</td>
<td>McKenzie (CHSKP)</td>
<td>Kurokawa</td>
<td>Wang et al.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ergun</td>
<td>Vranek et al</td>
<td>Simnett</td>
<td>Choe &amp; Cheng</td>
<td>DePontien et al.</td>
<td>Chen-Kroll</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Buchlin</td>
<td>Sterling-Moore</td>
<td>Gary-Moore</td>
<td>Gurman</td>
<td>Karlicky</td>
<td>Asai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Karlicky-Kosugi</td>
<td>Benz</td>
<td>Burlaga</td>
<td>Kusano et al</td>
<td>Georgoulis et al</td>
<td>Smith</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cliver (IT)</td>
<td>Uralov</td>
<td>Li et al</td>
<td>Takasaki</td>
<td>Fletcher_2004</td>
<td>Aechwanden_04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foullon</td>
<td>Nakariakov-Verwichte</td>
<td>Vainio-Khan</td>
<td>Kliem</td>
<td>Sakai-Kakimoto</td>
<td>Pollock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Liu</td>
<td>Mikic-Lee</td>
<td>Spicer_06</td>
<td>Williams</td>
<td>Dombeck</td>
<td>Droge</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trinathi</td>
<td>Atwill</td>
<td>Ryltova</td>
<td>Tan-Huang</td>
<td>Drake</td>
<td>Takasaki_07</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A recent flare cartoon

(Janvier, 2014)
Current sheet formation at a neutral line
Parameters of a solar active region

Typical values:

\[ L = 10^{9.5} \text{ cm}, \quad T = 10^6 \text{ K}, \quad \rho = 10^{-15} \text{ g cm}^{-3}, \]

\[ B = 10^2 \text{ G}, \quad v_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi \rho}} \simeq 10^9 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \]

Dimensionless resistivity:

\[ \eta = \frac{c^2}{4\pi v_A L \sigma} \simeq 10^{-14.5} \]

Dimensionless ion inertial length:

\[ d_i = \frac{c}{L \omega_{pi}} \simeq 10^{-6.5} > \eta^{1/2} \]
Dimensionless equations of Hall MHD

Momentum equation:
\[ \partial_t \mathbf{v} + (\mathbf{v} \cdot \nabla)\mathbf{v} = -\nabla p + \mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} \]

Ohm’s law:
\[ \mathbf{E} + \mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{B} = d_i (\mathbf{J} \times \mathbf{B} - \nabla p_e) \]

Incompressibility:
\[ \nabla \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0 \]

Maxwell’s equations:
\[ \nabla \times \mathbf{E} = -\partial_t \mathbf{B}, \quad \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = 0, \quad \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B} \]
\(2\frac{1}{2}\text{D Hall MHD solution}\)

\[
\mathbf{v}(x, y, t) = \nabla \phi \times \hat{z} + W \hat{z}
\]

\[
\mathbf{B}(x, y, t) = \nabla \psi \times \hat{z} + Z \hat{z}
\]

Planar components:

\[
\partial_t (\nabla^2 \phi) + [\nabla^2 \phi, \phi] = [\nabla^2 \psi, \psi]
\]

\[
\partial_t \psi + [\psi, \phi] = d_i [\psi, Z]
\]

Axial components:

\[
\partial_t W + [W, \phi] = [Z, \psi]
\]

\[
\partial_t Z + [Z, \phi] = [W, \psi] + d_i [\nabla^2 \psi, \psi]
\]
A self-similar solution

Stream function:
\[ \phi = -\gamma(t)xy \]

Flux function:
\[ \psi = \alpha(t)x^2 - \beta(t)y^2 \]

Axial speed:
\[ W = f(t)x^2 + g(t)y^2 \]

Axial magnetic field:
\[ Z = h(t)xy \]
\( \mathbf{v} \) and \( \mathbf{B} \) at \( t = 0 \)
Reconnection in a resistive viscous plasma

\[ \eta \neq 0, \ \nu \neq 0 \implies \]

\[ \psi \to \psi + 2\eta \int (\alpha - \beta)dt \]

\[ W \to W + 2\nu \int (f + g)dt \]
The similarity reduction (a system of ODEs)

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\alpha} - 2\alpha \gamma - 2d_i \alpha h &= 0 \\
\dot{\beta} + 2\beta \gamma + 2d_i \beta h &= 0 \\
\dot{f} - 2\gamma f + 2\alpha h &= 0 \\
\dot{g} + 2\gamma g + 2\beta h &= 0 \\
\dot{h} + 4\alpha g + 4\beta f &= 0
\end{align*}
\]
Current sheet formation in 2D MHD

\[ d_i = 0 \]

\[ f = g = h = 0, \quad \gamma = \gamma_0 \]

\[ \dot{\alpha} - 2\alpha\gamma_0 = 0 \]

\[ \dot{\beta} + 2\beta\gamma_0 = 0 \]

Exponential growth, no finite-time singularities (FTS):

\[ \alpha(t) = \exp(2\gamma_0 t) \]

\[ \beta(t) = \exp(-2\gamma_0 t) \]

(Chapman and Kendall, 1963; Sulem et al., 1985; Grauer and Marliani, 1998)
The simplest model of a finite-time singularity

1D fluid flow, $v = v(x, t)$:

$$\partial_t v + v \partial_x v = 0$$

$$v = \omega(t)x$$

$$\dot{\omega} + \omega^2 = 0$$

$$\omega(t) = \frac{\omega_0}{1 + \omega_0 t}$$

**FTS**: $\omega_0 < 0 \implies \omega(t) \to \infty$ as $t \to 1/|\omega_0|$
Effect of the Hall term on the magnetic field

\[ d_i > 0 \]

\[ t \ll 1: \]

\[ \alpha(t) \approx 1 + (2\gamma_0 + 2d_i h_0)t \]

\[ \beta(t) \approx 1 - (2\gamma_0 + 2d_i h_0)t \]

The angle between the magnetic separatrices, \( \psi = 0 \):

\[ \tan \frac{\theta}{2} = \left( \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \right)^{1/2} \approx 1 - (2\gamma_0 + 2d_i h_0)t \]
Collapse to a current sheet: $d_i h_0 = +10^{-4}$

\[ \ln \alpha \]

\[ \alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 1, \gamma(0) = \gamma_0, f(0) = g(0) = 0 \]
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Collapse to a current sheet: \( d_i h_0 = +10^{-4} \)

\[ \ln \beta \]

\[ \alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 1, \gamma(0) = \gamma_0, f(0) = g(0) = 0 \]
Collapse to a current sheet: \( d_i h_0 = +10^{-4} \)

\[
\ln(h d_i)
\]

\[\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 1, \gamma(0) = \gamma_0, f(0) = g(0) = 0\]
Collapse to a current sheet:  $d_i h_0 = -10^{-4}$

$\ln \alpha$

$\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 1$, $\gamma(0) = \gamma_0$, $f(0) = g(0) = 0$
Collapse to a current sheet: \( d_i h_0 = -10^{-4} \)

\[
\alpha(0) = \beta(0) = 1, \quad \gamma(0) = \gamma_0, \quad f(0) = g(0) = 0
\]
Finite-time singularity: a mechanical analogy

FTS: \( h(t) \to \infty \) as \( t \to t_s \)

\[ \ddot{h} + U'(h) = 0 \]

\[
U(h) = -\frac{1}{2}(d_i h^4 + a^2 h^2) + \frac{1}{2}(d_i h_0^4 + a^2 h_0^2) - 8(\alpha_0 g_0 + \beta_0 f_0)^2
\]

\[
a^2 = -2[4d_i(\alpha_0 g_0 - \beta_0 f_0) - 8\alpha_0 \beta_0 + d_i^2 h_0^2]
\]
Finite-time singularity: a mechanical analogy
Criterion for the FTS absence

\[ \alpha_0 \beta_0 (\alpha_0 + d_i f_0)(\beta_0 - d_i g_0) \geq 0 \]

\[ d_i (\alpha_0 g_0 - \beta_0 f_0) - 2 \alpha_0 \beta_0 \geq 0 \]

(Litvinenko and McMahon, 2014)
Behaviour near the singularity \((h > 0)\)

\[\tau = (t_s - t) \to 0, \quad \Gamma = \int_0^t \gamma(t')dt' \to \Gamma_s\]

\[\alpha \approx \frac{1}{4(\beta_0 - d_i g_0)} \exp(2\Gamma_s)\tau^{-2}\]

\[\beta \approx 4\alpha \beta_0 (\beta_0 - d_i g_0) \exp(-2\Gamma_s)\tau^2\]

\[d_i f \approx -\frac{1}{4(\beta_0 - d_i g_0)} \exp(2\Gamma_s)\tau^{-2}\]

\[d_i g \approx -(\beta_0 - d_i g_0) \exp(-2\Gamma_s)\]

\[d_i h \approx \tau^{-1}\]
An intermediate asymptotic solution

\[ h(t) \approx \left( h_0 \cosh(at) + \frac{\dot{h}_0}{a} \sinh(at) \right) \times \]

\[ \left[ 1 - \frac{d_i^2}{16a^2} \left( h_0 + \frac{\dot{h}_0}{a} \right)^2 \exp(2at) \right]^{-1} \]

Singularity time, \( h(t_s) = \infty \):

\[ t_s = \frac{1}{2a} \ln \left[ \frac{16a^2}{d_i^2} \left( h_0 + \frac{\dot{h}_0}{a} \right)^{-2} \right] \]
Homework problem

Find an exact solution for $h(t)$ in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions.
Solar flares as finite-time singularities?
An estimate for the solar flare onset time

\[ L = 10^{9.5} \text{ cm}, \quad T = 10^6 \text{ K}, \quad n = 10^9 \text{ cm}^{-3}, \]

\[ B = 10^2 \text{ G}, \quad v_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{4\pi m_p n}} \simeq 10^9 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \]

\[ d_i = \frac{c}{L\omega_{pi}} \simeq 10^{-6.5} \]

\[ a \simeq h_0 \simeq 1 \implies t_s \simeq \frac{L}{v_A} \ln \frac{1}{d_i} \simeq 30 \text{ s} \]
Observational data and theoretical models strongly suggest that reconnecting current sheets, separating the interacting magnetic fluxes in the solar atmosphere, play the key role in the dynamics and energetics of solar flares.

The current sheet formation can be modelled as the development of a singularity in the solution for the electric current density at a magnetic neutral line. A finite-time collapse to the current sheet can occur in a weakly collisional plasma, described by Hall magnetohydrodynamics.

Predictions made using the exact self-similar solutions may have important implications for magnetic reconnection in the laboratory and space plasmas.