

Quadrafree factorisatio numerorum

KEVIN BROUGHAN
Department of Mathematics
University of Waikato
Hamilton 3216
New Zealand
kab@waikato.ac.nz

February 29, 2012

Abstract

We derive expressions for the number of factorization of positive integers into squarefree factors with order not counting and for the asymptotic average of these factorizations.

1 Introduction

We study the multiplicative decomposition of natural numbers into squarefree factors, $n = n_1 n_2 \cdots n_m$, $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \cdots \leq n_m$, where each n_i is squarefree. Let $f_2(n)$ be the number of such factorizations, where the order of the factors does not count. Let $g_2(n)$ be the number of squarefree factorizations where the order does count. For example $24 = 2 \times 2 \times 6 = 2 \times 2 \times 2 \times 3$ so $f_2(24) = 2$ and $g_2(n) = 4$.

The number of all possible factorizations of n with order not counting, denoted $f(n)$, has been well studied and a great deal is known about its behavior [14, 2]. However, other than in the case of squarefree or prime power values

of n , there is no explicit formula for its evaluation, so one has normally to be content with generating the entire factorization tree and counting the nodes (the greedy algorithm or its equivalent), or asymptotic results.

This is in contrast to squarefree factorizations where a range of direct formulas and recursive expressions are presented in this paper, with sufficient structure to enable them, potentially, to be extended.

Note that Warlimount [17] develops a range of generalizations of $f(n)$ to different types of factorization, including one called “squarefree”. However this term refers to the single nature of the occurrence of a given factor, rather than the factors themselves. For example $12 = 4 \times 3$ would be a squarefree factorization for Warlimount, but would not contribute to $f_2(n)$.

Since $f_2(n) = f(n) = B_{\omega(n)}$ for all squarefree n it follows that $f_2(n) \neq O(n^\alpha)$ for any α with $0 < \alpha < 1$ and, since $f_2(n) \leq f(n)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f_2(n) \leq n/\log n$ for all n [7, Theorem 3.1, Proposition 4.1]. The normal order of $f_2(n)$ remains to be investigated.

The set of values of $f_2(n)$ is completely different from the $f(n)$ case [12, 6], since $f_2((2 \cdot 3)^a) = 1 + a$ for all $a \geq 1$ (Example (4) below) and $f_2(2) = 1$, we have $|\{f_2(n) : f_2(n) \leq x\}| = \lfloor x \rfloor$.

Summary of the paper content: We begin with some explicit formulas for $f_2(n)$, when n is a prime power, is squarefree, or is a product of at most three prime powers. Then we derive a combinatorial polynomial equality, based on a given multiset using a method of Rota. This method was first used to obtain partition identities for sets. This enables a recursive formula to be derived for integers which are a power of 2 times an odd squarefree number, a square of a squarefree number or a square of a squarefree number times a coprime squarefree number. Finally we use the method of Oppenheim, developed for $f(n)$, to derive an asymptotic expression for the average of $f_2(n)$.

Notations: By a squarefree factorization of n we mean a tuple $s = (n_1, \dots, n_t)$ such that each n_i is squarefree, $n = n_1 \cdots n_t$ and $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq \dots \leq n_t$. By the length of a squarefree factorization $l(s) := t$, the number of factors. The symbols p, q, p_i represent prime numbers. The expression $\Omega(n)$ is the total

number of primes dividing n , including multiplicity. Expressions of the form

$$\binom{n}{i} \text{ or } \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \end{matrix} \right\}$$

represent the standard binomial coefficient or Stirling numbers of the first kind (being the number of ways to partition of set of t objects into r nonempty subsets) respectively. The related Bell numbers B_n are the total number of distinct subset partitions of a set of n elements,

$$(B_n)_{n \geq 0} = (1, 1, 2, 5, 15, 52, 203, 877, \dots).$$

The generating function e^{e^x-1} gives B_n as the coefficient of x^n times $n!$. We also need the Bell polynomials, $B_n(x)$. These can be expressed as the coefficients in the exponential generating function

$$e^{(e^t-1)x} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n(x)}{n!} t^n.$$

They satisfy $B_n = B_n(1)$. Finally, if $a \geq 0$ is an integer and u a real variable define the so-called downward factorial function $(u)_a := u(u-1) \cdots (u-a+1)$ so $(u)_0 = 1$, $(u)_1 = u$.

2 Examples

We begin with a number of easy to derive properties of $f_2(n)$. Let $a, b, a_i, b_i, i \in \{1, 2\}$ be positive integers.

- (1) $f_2(p^a) = 1$,
- (2) $f_2(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r) = B_r$,
- (3) $(a, b) = 1 \implies f_2(ab) \geq f_2(a) f_2(b)$,
- (4) If $a \mid b$ then $f_2(a) \leq f_2(b)$,
- (5) $f_2(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}) = 1 + \min\{a_1, a_2\}$,

$$(6) \quad f_2(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} p_3) = 2 + 3 \min\{a_1, a_2\} + \min\{a_1 - 1, a_2\} \text{ if } a_1 \geq a_2,$$

$$(7) \quad f_2(2^{\Omega(b)} \cdot b) = f_2(2^a \cdot b), \quad a \geq \Omega(b).$$

The first three properties are the same or similar to those of $f(n)$. For item (4) take the corresponding multiset for a given squarefree factorization of a and map this to its union with the multiset of singleton primes for b/a to get a unique multiset squarefree decomposition of b .

To see (5) let $a = \max\{a_1, a_2\}$, $b = \min\{a_1, a_2\}$ so $f_2(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2}) = f_2(2^a \times 3^b)$. Then the squarefree factorizations of $2^a 3^b$ have 0 through b factors with value 6, the remaining factors being singletons. No other squarefree factorizations are possible, giving the result $b + 1$.

For (6) let $f_2(p_1^{a_1} p_2^{a_2} p_3) = f_2(2^a \times 3^b \times 5)$ with $a \geq b$. If $a > b$ then there can be i 6's in any squarefree factorization of $2^a \times 3^b$, with $0 \leq i \leq b$, the remaining factors being singleton 2's or 3's. This gives, when $a > b$, $1 + b$ factors with a singleton 5, $1 + b$ with one of the 2's replaced by 10, b with one of the 3's replaced by 15, and b with one of the 6's replaced by 30. In case $a = b$ then we lose a factorization because of the situation where there are no 2's to be replaced. This gives a total of $2 + 3b + \min\{a - 1, b\}$ factors.

Finally, to see (6), simply note that in any squarefree factorization of b there must be at most $\Omega(b)$ factors, and each may be combined with at most that many 2's. Hence each factorization must have at least $a - \Omega(b)$ single 2's.

In a similar vein we can go beyond these examples, but the results get much more complicated. For example if $n = p^a q^b r^c$ with p, q, r distinct primes and natural numbers $a \geq b \geq c > 0$ then, with a bit of work, it is possible to see that

$$f_2(n) = \sum_{i=0}^b \sum_{j=0}^{\min\{i, c\}} \theta(a - i, b - i, c - j)$$

where, if $\beta(n) := 1 + 2 + \cdots + n$ with $\beta(0) := 0$, we set

$$\theta(u, v, w) := \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2}(w+1)(w+2) & 0 \leq w \leq v, \\ \beta(w+1) - \beta(w-v) & v < w < u, \\ (u+1)(v+1) - \beta(u+v-w) & u \leq w \leq u+v, \\ (u+1)(v+1) & u+v < w. \end{cases}$$

which is example (8).

To our knowledge, there do not exist corresponding direct formulas to (4)–(7) for the computation of $f(n)$. Going beyond example (8), would result, we consider, in even more complicated expressions.

3 Rota's method and the combinatorial sieve

Let M be a finite multiset with one element of multiplicity $a_1 \geq 1$, one of multiplicity $a_2 \geq 1$, etc so $|M| = a_1 + \cdots + a_k$. Let U be a finite set with $|U| = u$. Then

Lemma 1.

$$\sum_{\pi} \frac{(u)_{N(\pi)}}{b_1! \cdots b_l!} = \frac{(u)_{a_1} \cdots (u)_{a_k}}{a_1! \cdots a_k!}$$

where the sum is over partitions π of M such that each subset of π is a set, and for each such partition there are b_1 equal subsets of type 1, b_2 of type 2, etc so $N(\pi) = b_1 + \cdots + b_l$ where $N(\pi)$ represents the number of subsets with multiplicity in the partition π .

Proof. Let

$$M = \{p_1, \dots, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_2, \dots, \dots, p_k, \dots, p_k\}$$

with $a_j \geq 1$ copies of p_j for $1 \leq j \leq k$ be the multiset. For the right hand side of the given identity, consider the set of functions $F := \{f : M \rightarrow U\}$ where each element with multiplicity has each copy mapped to a different element of U . Then

$$|F| = (u)_{a_1} \cdots (u)_{a_k}.$$

For each such f define a partition of M , called $\ker f$, by $x \sim y$ if and only if $f(x) = f(y)$.

For the left hand side, for each partition of M into disjoint sets, $M = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_l$, define a function $g : M \rightarrow U$, such that g is constant on each B_i , and such that if $B_i \neq B_j$ as sets, then $g(x) \neq g(y)$ for all $x \in B_i$ and $y \in B_j$ and $\ker g$ is the partition $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \cdots \cup B_l$ of M . Note here that

since M is a multiset it is quite possible to have some $B_i = B_j$ for some $i \neq j$ in this partition and the functions g must give have different values on such subsets. Finally we regard as equivalent functions which have the same set of values on identical B_i 's. The number of functions in M associated in this manner with each partition $B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_l$ is given by

$$\frac{u(u-1)(u-2)\cdots(u-l+1)}{b_1! \cdots b_m!}$$

where the b_i are the number of sets B_j which are equal so $l = b_1 + \dots + b_m$. Let G be the set of all such functions g defined in this manner.

Since each f is associated with a unique partition into sets we can define a map $\theta : F \rightarrow G$ by setting $\theta(f)(B_i) = f(x)$ for $x \in B_i$ and where $\ker f = B_1 \cup B_2 \cup \dots \cup B_l$. Then if $f, f' \in F$, $\theta(f) = \theta(f')$ if and only if there is a group element

$$\sigma \in S_{a_1} \times \cdots \times S_{a_k} =: H,$$

acting on M in such a way that each p_i is mapped to another copy of p_i , and where S_n is the symmetric group of all permutations of $n \geq 1$ symbols, such that $f(x) = f'(\sigma \cdot x)$ for all $x \in M$. Hence θ is onto and the inverse image of each element on the left hand side has size $|H|$, and the given identity follows. \square

Example 1. Let $n = 2^4 3^2$ with corresponding multiset $M = \{2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 3\}$, so $a_1 = 4$, $a_2 = 2$ and the right hand side of the Lemma is the polynomial in $\mathbb{Q}[u]$

$$\frac{(u)_4(u)_2}{4!2!} = \frac{u(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)}{4!} \cdot \frac{u(u-1)}{2!}.$$

For the left hand side, the partitions with subparts which are sets are

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_1 &= \{\{2, 3\}, \{2, 3\}, \{2\}, \{2\}\}, \\ \pi_2 &= \{\{2, 3\}, \{2\}, \{2\}, \{2\}, \{3\}\}, \\ \pi_3 &= \{\{2\}, \{2\}, \{2\}, \{2\}, \{3\}, \{3\}\}, \end{aligned}$$

giving, since $N(\pi_1) = 4$, $N(\pi_2) = 5$, $N(\pi_3) = 6$, the three corresponding terms on the left hand side

$$\frac{(u)_4}{2!2!} + \frac{(u)_5}{3!1!1!} + \frac{(u)_6}{4!2!}.$$

Using Lemma 1 to derive partition identities is not as straight forward as Rota's original application to sets. However we do have some results. First we treat the case with just one prime to a power higher than 1:

Example 2. *Let p_1, \dots, p_k be distinct odd primes with $k \geq 1$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} (1) \quad f_2(2^1 p_1 \cdots p_k) &= \frac{1}{1!} (B_{k+1}), \\ (2) \quad f_2(2^2 p_1 \cdots p_k) &= \frac{1}{2!} (B_{k+2} - B_{k+1} + B_k), \\ (3) \quad f_2(2^3 p_1 \cdots p_k) &= \frac{1}{3!} (B_{k+3} - 3B_{k+2} + 5B_{k+1} + 2B_k), \\ (4) \quad f_2(2^4 p_1 \cdots p_k) &= \frac{1}{4!} (B_{k+4} - 6B_{k+3} + 17B_{k+2} - 4B_{k+1} + 9B_k). \end{aligned}$$

To see how to obtain equations of this type we will derive (4): For $2 \leq j \leq 4$ let b_j be the number of squarefree factorizations of $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with exactly j 2's. Let $f_2^d(n)$ be the number of these factorizations where each of the factors is different. Then $f_2(n) = f_2^d(n) + b_2 + b_3 + b_4$ (). Note that $b_4 = f_2(p_1 \cdots p_k)$ and that, for $2 \leq j \leq 3$, $b_j = f_2(2^{4-j} p_1 \cdots p_k) - f_2(2^{3-j} p_1 \cdots p_k)$.*

Define a linear mapping L from $V := \mathbb{Q}[u]$ to \mathbb{Q} in the same manner as Rota, i.e. set $L((u)_n) = 1$ for $n \geq 0$ and, recalling that the polynomials $((u)_n)_{n \geq 0}$ are a basis, extend L to the whole of V . Then apply L to the identity of Lemma 1 when $n = 2^4 p_1 \cdots p_k$, so the right hand side is

$$\frac{(u)_4 (u)_1 \cdots (u)_1}{4! 1! \cdots 1!} = \frac{u^k (u)_4}{4!},$$

to obtain the equation

$$f_2^d(n) + \frac{b_2}{2!} + \frac{b_3}{3!} + \frac{b_4}{4!} = \frac{1}{24} L(u^k (u)_4),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} b_2 &= f_2(2^2 p_1 \cdots p_k) - f_2(2 p_1 \cdots p_k), \\ b_3 &= f_2(2 p_1 \cdots p_k) - f_2(p_1 \cdots p_k), \\ b_4 &= f_2(p_1 \cdots p_k), \end{aligned}$$

and $u^k(u)_4 = u^{k+1}(u-1)(u-2)(u-3)$.

Now use the fact that for all $n \geq 0$, $L(u^n) = B_n$ and the values of $f_2(\cdot)$ from (1) and (2) listed above, to obtain an equation for $f_2^d(n)$ in terms of the B_j 's and substitute this back in (*) to obtain (4).

The following gives the general form for these equations. It is derived in a similar manner to the special case set out above.

Theorem 2. *Let $1 \leq a \leq k$ and p_1, \dots, p_k a set of distinct odd primes. Then,*

$$f_2(2^a p_1 \cdots p_k) = \frac{1}{a!} L(u^k(u)_a) + \sum_{j=2}^a \binom{j-1}{j!} f_2(2^{a-j} p_1 \cdots p_k).$$

If $a > k$ then $f_2(2^a p_1 \cdots p_k) = f_2(2^k p_1 \cdots p_k)$.

We are also able to use the lemma to derive an expression for $f_2(s^2)$ where s is squarefree. We use the version of the combinatorial sieve that is easily derived using generating functions [18], i.e. given a finite set Ω of objects and a family P of properties, for each subset $S \subset P$ of properties let N^S be the number of objects that have at least the properties in S . Then define, for each $r \geq 0$

$$N_r := \sum_{S:|S|=r} N^S. \quad (1)$$

Then for $j \geq 0$ the number of objects having exactly j of the properties is given by

$$e_j := \sum_{r \geq 0} (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} N_r. \quad (2)$$

Set $a_0 = 1$ and for $k \geq 1$, if p_1, \dots, p_k a set of distinct odd primes set $a_k = f_2((p_1 \cdots p_k)^2)$. Then $(a_k)_{k \geq 0} = (1, 1, 3, 16, 139, 1750, \dots)$ and we have the following recurrence

Theorem 3. *For all $k \geq 0$*

$$a_k = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} B_{k+j} - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k-j} B_j \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

Proof. Let $n = (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2$ and for $j \geq 0$ let e_j represent the number of squarefree factors of n with exactly j equal factor pairs, with order not counting. Note that we need not consider factor triples or higher multiplicities. Then if $f_2^d(n)$ is the number of squarefree factors of n with all factors different, by Lemma 1,

$$f_2^d(n) + \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{e_j}{(2!)^j} = L \left(\left(\frac{(u)_2}{2!} \right)^k \right). \quad (3)$$

Let Q be the set of squarefree factors of \sqrt{n} , excluding 1, and for every non-empty subset $S \subset Q$ let N^S be the number of squarefree factorizations of n with at least each of the factors $s \in S$ repeated. Then by (1)

$$\begin{aligned} N_r &:= \sum_{S:|S|=r} N^S \\ &= \sum_{|S|=r} f_2 \left(\frac{n}{\prod_{s \in S} s^2} \right) \\ &= \sum_{|S|=r} a_{k-\omega(\prod_{s \in S} s)} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} \left(\#S : |S| = r \text{ and } \omega(\prod_{s \in S} s) = t \right) a_{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} \binom{k}{t} \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} a_{k-t}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $f_2(n) = f_2^d(n) + e_1 + \cdots + e_k$ so by (3)

$$f_2(n) = L \left(\left(\frac{(u)_2}{2!} \right)^k \right) + \sum_{j=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j} \right) e_j. \quad (4)$$

By the binomial theorem

$$L\left(\left(\binom{k}{2}\right)_2\right) = L\left(u^k(u-1)^k\right) \quad (5)$$

$$= L\left(u^k\left(\sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} u^j\right)\right) \quad (6)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} L(u^{j+k}) \quad (7)$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} B_{k+j}. \quad (8)$$

$$(9)$$

Now using the expression derived for N_r and (2) we get

$$e_j = \sum_{j \leq r \leq k} (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} \binom{k}{t} \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} a_{k-t}, \quad (10)$$

so by (10)

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right) e_j &= \sum_{1 \leq j, r, t \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right) (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} \binom{k}{t} \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} a_{k-t} \\ &= \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} a_{k-t} \binom{k}{t} \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k} \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \end{matrix} \right\} \sum_{1 \leq j \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2^j}\right) (-1)^{r-j} \binom{r}{j} \\ &= - \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} a_{k-t} \binom{k}{t} \sum_{1 \leq r \leq k} \frac{(-1)^r \left\{ \begin{matrix} t \\ r \end{matrix} \right\}}{2^r} \\ &= - \sum_{1 \leq t \leq k} a_{k-t} \binom{k}{t} B_t \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Feeding this expression into (4) and using (5) we obtain the recurrence for a_k and the derivation is complete. \square

We can extend this recurrence to count the number of squarefree factorizations of numbers of the form $n = (p_1 \cdots p_k)^2 p_{k+1} \cdots p_{k+l}$, simply replacing a_k by $a_{k,l} := f_2(n)$ leading to

Theorem 4. For all $l \geq 0$, $a_{0,l} = B_l$ and for all $k \geq 1, l \geq 0$ we have

$$a_{k,l} = \frac{1}{2^k} \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{k}{j} (-1)^{k-j} B_{k+l+j} - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{k-j,l} B_j \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right).$$

It should be possible to extend this method to derive a recursive expression for the evaluation of $f_2((p_1 \cdots p_k)^a)$ for $a \geq 1, k \geq 1$. Instead here we derive a simple lower bound. Since there are B_k partitions of $\{p_1, \dots, p_k\}$ and we may select a of these, with order not significant, to form squarefree factorizations of $n = (p_1 \cdots p_k)^a$, we get

Proposition 5. Let the primes p_i , for $1 \leq i \leq k$, be distinct and $a \geq 1$. Then

$$f_2((p_1 \cdots p_k)^a) \geq \binom{B_k + a - 1}{a}.$$

Note that there are squarefree factorizations of $n = (p_1 \cdots p_k)^a$ which are not included in these selections, e.g. $(6, 10, 15)$ when $n = (2 \times 3 \times 5)^2$. Indeed we get equality only when $a = 1$ or $k = 2$.

4 The average of $f_2(n)$

We can express the Dirichlet series of $f_2(n)$ as an Euler product

$$\varphi(s) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_2(n)}{n^s} = \prod_{\substack{1 < n \\ n \text{ squarefree}}} (1 - n^{-s})^{-1},$$

which converges at least for $\Re s > 2$. We can rewrite this series as

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{f_2(n)}{n^s} = \exp \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k} \left(\frac{\zeta(ks)}{\zeta(2ks)} - 1 \right) \right) = \exp \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c_n}{n^s} \right).$$

where $c_1 = 0$ and for all $n \geq 1$, $0 \leq c_n \leq 1$. Indeed

$$\log \varphi(s) = \frac{0}{1} + \frac{1}{2^s} + \frac{1}{3^s} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{4^s} + \frac{1}{5^s} + \frac{1}{6^s} + \frac{1}{7^s} + \frac{1}{3} \frac{1}{8^s} + \cdots$$

Note that for $n > 1$, $c_n = 0$ whenever n is not a power of a squarefree number, and $1/k$ if n is the k 'th power of a squarefree number. This Dirichlet series converges for $\Re s > 1$, has poles at $s = 1, 1/2, 1/3, \dots$ and at the points $s = 1/(2m) + i\gamma/(2m)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$ for each zero of $\zeta(s)$, $1/2 + i\gamma$. It has an essential singularity at $s = 0$.

To obtain the average of $f_2(n)$ we will use the method of Oppenheim [14] developed for $f(n)$. Indeed the method applies almost in its entirety, and we only give sufficient detail to show how the constants in the leading asymptotic expressing are different in this case.

We need the following standard result in case $k = 1$.

Lemma 6. [1, Lemma 3, page 281] *Let $c > 0$ and $u > 0$ be real numbers. Then*

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{u^{-s}}{s(s+1)\cdots(s+k)} ds = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{k!}(1-u)^k & 0 < u \leq 1, \\ 0 & u > 1. \end{cases}$$

We also need some properties the Bessel function $I_\alpha(z)$ [3, Chapter 10]. For $\alpha > 0$ and $\zeta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ let

$$I_\alpha(z) := \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^{2m+\alpha}}{m!\Gamma(m+\alpha+1)}$$

be the usual definition of the modified Bessel function of the first kind. It is one of the two linearly independent power series solutions to the differential equation $x^2y'' + xy' - (x^2 + \alpha^2)y = 0$, is holomorphic in the given open subset of \mathbb{C} , goes to infinity with real positive z , and for $\alpha > 0$, $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} I_\alpha(x) = 0$, whereas $\lim_{x \rightarrow 0^+} I_0(x) = 1$. If Γ is any contour enclosing the origin in a positive direction (anti-clockwise), then we can represent this function as

$$I_\nu(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\Gamma} \frac{e^{\frac{z}{2}\left(t+\frac{1}{t}\right)}}{t^{\nu+1}} dt.$$

Asymptotic expressions for the function and its derivative are

$$\begin{aligned}
I_\nu(z) &\sim \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{a_n(\nu)}{z^n}, \quad \arg z < \pi/2, \\
I'_\nu(z) &\sim \frac{e^z}{\sqrt{2\pi z}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{b_n(\nu)}{z^n}, \quad \arg z < \pi/2, \quad \text{where} \\
a_0(\nu) &= 1, \quad a_n(\nu) = \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1^2)(4\nu^2 - 3^2) \cdots (4\nu^2 - (2n - 1)^2)}{n!8^n}, \quad n > 0, \\
b_0(\nu) &= 1, \quad b_1(\nu) = \frac{(4\nu^2 + 3)}{8}, \\
b_n(\nu) &= \frac{(4\nu^2 - 1^2)(4\nu^2 - 3^2) \cdots (4\nu^2 - (2n - 3)^2)(4\nu^2 + 4n^2 - 1)}{n!8^n}, \quad n > 1.
\end{aligned}$$

The properties of $I_\alpha(z)$ which we need are summarized in the following lemma.

Lemma 7. *If x , c and α are real and positive then*

$$(a) \quad I_\alpha(x) = \frac{x^\alpha}{2\pi i} \int_{c-i\infty}^{c+i\infty} \frac{e^{z+\frac{x^2}{4z}}}{z^{\alpha+1}} dz,$$

and as $x \rightarrow \infty$

$$(b) \quad I_\alpha(x) = \frac{e^x}{\sqrt{2\pi x}} \left(1 - \frac{4\alpha^2 - 1}{8x} + O\left(\frac{1}{x^2}\right) \right).$$

Theorem 8. *Let $a_n = f_2(n)$ and $A_2(n) = \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_n$. Then as $x \rightarrow \infty$ we get*

$$A_2(x) \sim c_1 \frac{6^{\frac{1}{4}} x e^{\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{\zeta(2)}}\right)}}{4\pi (\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

Proof. (1) If $s > 1$ we have

$$\log \varphi(s) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\zeta(ms)}{\zeta(2ms)} - 1 \right). \quad (11)$$

This series is uniformly convergent on compact subsets of $s > 0$ which avoid the points $s = 1/m$ and $s = 1/(2m) + i\gamma/m$ where $1/2 + i\gamma$ are the complex zeros of $\zeta(s)$. Thus $\varphi(s)$ is holomorphic on the right half plane away from these points.

(2) For fixed $m \in \mathbb{N}$, on an open neighborhood of $s = 1/m$ there exists a holomorphic function $\varphi_m(s)$ such that we can write

$$\varphi(s) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(2)m(ms-1)} + \varphi_m(s)\right) = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\zeta(2)(s-1)} + \varphi_1(s)\right).$$

The function $\varphi_1(s)$ is holomorphic on $|s-1| < \frac{1}{2}$ so we have a convergent power series representation on this disk given by

$$\varphi_1(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_1^{(n)}(1)}{n!} (s-1)^n.$$

Therefore, for some real coefficients ($\alpha_n : n \geq 0$) we have

$$\varphi(s) = c_1 e^{\frac{1}{\zeta(2)(s-1)}} (1 + \alpha_1(s-1) + \alpha_2(s-1)^2 + \dots)$$

where $c_1 = e^{\varphi_1(1)}$ and $\varphi_1(1) = 0.395895\dots$. To derive this last constant let, as $s \rightarrow 1+$,

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(s) &= \Delta(s) + \Phi(s), \\ \Phi(s) &:= \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\zeta(ms)}{\zeta(2ms)} - 1 \right), \text{ so} \\ \Phi(1) &= 0.35203769\dots, \\ \Delta(s) &:= \frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} - \frac{1}{\zeta(2)(s-1)} - 1, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta(s) &= \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma + O(|s-1|), \\ \zeta(2s) &= \zeta(2) + 2\zeta'(\xi)(s-1), \quad 2 < \xi < 2s, \text{ so} \\ \Delta(s) &= \frac{\gamma}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2\zeta'(\xi)}{\zeta(2)^2} - 1 + O(|s-1|), \text{ giving} \\ \varphi_1(1) &= \frac{\gamma}{\zeta(2)} - \frac{2\zeta'(2)}{\zeta(2)^2} - 1 + \Phi(1) = 0.395895\dots \end{aligned}$$

(3) Now we **claim** that the order of $\varphi(s)$ on $s = 1 + it$, for some ϵ with $0 < \epsilon < 1$, satisfies $\varphi(1 + it) = O(|t|^\epsilon)$ uniformly for $t \geq e$: write

$$\begin{aligned} \exp(\varphi(1 + it)) &= \frac{\zeta(1 + it)}{\zeta(2 + 2it)} + \sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{m} \left(\frac{\zeta(m(1 + it))}{\zeta(2m(1 + it))} - 1 \right) - 1 \\ &\leq \frac{6}{\pi^2} \log t + C \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq e$ and some absolute constant $C > 0$, where we have used Lindelöf's estimate $\zeta(1 + it) \ll \log t$, which requires a simple application of Euler-Maclaurin summation [13].

(4) By Lemma 6 with $u = 1/x$ we get

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} ds = \begin{cases} x-1 & x \geq 1, \\ 0 & 0 < x \leq 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore

$$B(x) := \sum_{1 \leq n \leq x} a_n(x-n) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{2-i\infty}^{2+i\infty} \frac{x^{s+1}}{s(s+1)} \varphi(s) ds.$$

Now let $a > 0$ be a small real number. By Cauchy's theorem we can deform the contour of integration $(2 - i\infty, 2 + i\infty)$ to a new contour Γ which consists of the five components $C_1 = (1 - i\infty, 1 - it_0]$, $C_2 = [1 - it_0, 1 - ia]$, $C_3 = \{1 + ae^{i\theta} := \pi/2 \leq \theta \leq \pi/2\}$, $C_4 = [1 + ia, 1 + it_0]$, and $C_5 = [1 + it_0, 1 + i\infty)$ with corresponding integrals having values V_j with $1 \leq j \leq 5$.

Using the estimate of section (3) we get $V_1 = O(x^2)$ and $V_5 = O(x^2)$. Also

$$|V_2| \leq \frac{c_1 x^2}{2\pi} \int_0^e \frac{1}{|1+it||2+it|} |e^{\varphi_1(1+it)}| dt \leq c_2 x^2$$

with the same bound holding for $|I_4|$.

For the integral V_3 make the change of variables $w = 1/(s-1)$ so the contour of integration is now $w = e^{i\theta}/a$ with θ going from $-\pi/2$ to $+\pi/2$. It follows that for $b > 0$,

$$V_3 = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \frac{x^{2+\frac{1}{w}}}{(w+1)(2w+1)} \varphi\left(1 + \frac{1}{w}\right) dw + O(x^2).$$

Therefore, using the change of variables $w = \zeta(2)u$ and Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= c_1 \frac{x^2}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\log x}{w} + \frac{w}{\zeta(2)}\right)}{(w+1)(2w+1)} \exp\left(\varphi_1\left(1 + \frac{1}{w}\right)\right) dw + O(x^2) \\ &= \frac{c_1 x^2}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \frac{\exp\left(\frac{\log x}{w} + \frac{w}{\zeta(2)}\right)}{(w+1)(2w+1)} \left(1 + \frac{\alpha_1}{w} + \frac{\alpha_2}{w^2} + \dots\right) dw + O(x^2) \\ &= \frac{c_1 x^2}{4\pi i \zeta(2)} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \exp\left(u + \frac{\log x / \zeta(2)}{u}\right) \frac{1}{u^2} \left(1 + \frac{\beta_1}{u} + \dots\right) du + O(x^2) \\ &= \frac{c_1 x^2}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{b-i\infty}^{b+i\infty} \frac{\exp\left(u + \frac{\log x / \zeta(2)}{u}\right)}{u^{n+2}} du + O(x^2) \\ &= \frac{c_1 x^2}{2\zeta(2)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\zeta(2)^{\frac{n+1}{2}} I_{n+1}\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{\zeta(2)}}\right)}{2^{n+1} (\log x)^{\frac{n+1}{2}}} + O(x^2). \end{aligned}$$

Using similar analysis to Oppenheim [14]

$$V_3 \sim c_1 \frac{6^{\frac{1}{4}} x^2 e^{\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{\zeta(2)}}\right)}}{8\pi (\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}},$$

we get the asymptotic expansion for the derivative

$$A_2(x) \sim c_1 \frac{6^{\frac{1}{4}} x e^{\left(\frac{2\sqrt{\log x}}{\sqrt{\zeta(2)}}\right)}}{4\pi (\log x)^{\frac{3}{4}}}.$$

□

5 Concluding remarks

(1) Corresponding to $f(n)$ there is a function often called $m(n)$, the number of multiplicative compositions, or the number of factorizations of n with order counting. This function has been studied by many authors, starting with Kalmár. See [9, 10, 8, 4, 5]. So here we could consider $m_2(n)$, the number of squarefree factorizations of n with order counting. Here the Dirichlet series is simpler, and the average easier to derive than that for $f_2(n)$:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{m_2(n)}{n^s} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\zeta(s)}{\zeta(2s)} - 1 \right)^j = \frac{\zeta(2s)}{2\zeta(2s) - \zeta(s)}.$$

for $\Re s > \eta$, so

$$\sum_{n \leq x} m_2(n) \sim x^\eta \frac{\zeta(2\eta)}{\eta(4\zeta'(2\eta) - \zeta'(\eta))}$$

where η is the real root of $2\zeta(2s) = \zeta(s)$ with $\eta > 1$, $\eta = 1.57802\dots$

(2) There are a number of issues regarding $f_2(n)$ which must await further study. For example the property of numbers which is analogous to the highly composite numbers of Ramanujan [15] or the “highly factorable” numbers of Cranfield, Pomerance and Erdős [2]. The highly squarefree factorable numbers are champions for the function $f_2(n)$. I conjecture, based on a small amount of numerical evidence, that there are an infinite number of these champions which have the form $n = p_1 \cdots p_m$, a squarefree number consisting of the product of an initial sequence of primes.

(3) Finally, corresponding to $f_2(n)$ there is the function $f_k(n)$, the number of factorizations of n into k -free integers with order not counting, so there exists a $k \leq \Omega(n)$ with $f(n) = f_k(n)$. It is expected that the formula for the average of $f_k(n)$ would have $\sqrt{\zeta(k)}$ in the denominator of the exponential part.

6 Acknowledgement

The author thanks an anonymous referee for constructive and helpful comments on a previous version of this manuscript, resulting in significant improvements to the present version.

References

- [1] Apostol, T. M. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*, Springer, 1976.
- [2] E. R. Cranfield, P. Erdős, C. Pomerance, ‘On a problem of Oppenheim concerning “factorisatio numerorum”’, *J. Number Theory*, **17** (1983), 1–28.
- [3] *Digital Library of Mathematical Functions*, Release date 2011-08-29. National Institute of Standards and Technology from <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>.
- [4] P. Erdős, ‘On some asymptotic formulas in the theory of “factorisatio numerorum”’, *Annals of Math.*, **42** (1941), 989–993.
- [5] P. Erdős, ‘Corrections to two of my papers’, *Annals of Math.*, **44** (1943), 647–651.
- [6] R. Balasubramanian and F. Luca, ‘On the number of factorizations of an integer’, *INTEGERS*, **11** #L12 (2011), 1–5.
- [7] F. W. Dodd and L. E. Mattics, ‘Estimating the number of multiplicative partitions’, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, **17** (1987), 797–813.
- [8] E. Hille, ‘A problem in “Factorisatio numerorum”’, *Acta Arith.*, **2** (1936), 136–144.
- [9] L. Kalmár, ‘Über die mittlere Anzahl der Produktdarstellungen der Zahlen, erste Mitteilung’, *Acta Litterarum ac Scientiarum, Szeged*, **5** (1930-1932), 95–107.

- [10] L. Kalmár, ‘A “factorisatio numerorum” problémájáról’, *Mat. Fiz. Lapok*, **38** (1931) 1–15.
- [11] A. Knopfmacher, and M. E. Mays, ‘A survey of factorization counting functions’, *Int. J. Number Theory*, **1** (2005), 563–581.
- [12] F. Luca, A. Mukhopadhyay, and K. Srinivas, ‘Some results on Oppenheim’s “Factorisatio Numerorum” function’, *Acta Arith.* **142** (2010), 41–50.
- [13] Lindelöf, E. ‘Quelques remarques sur la croissance de la fonction $\zeta(s)$ ’, *Bull. Sci. Math.*, **32**, (1908), 341–356.
- [14] Oppenheim, A. ‘On an arithmetic function II’, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **2** (1927), 123–130.
- [15] Ramanujan, S. ‘Highly composite numbers’, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **14** (1915) 347–409.
- [16] G-C. Rota, ‘The number of partitions of a set’, *Amer Math. Monthly*, **71** (1964), 498–504.
- [17] R. Walimont, ‘Factorisatio numerorum with constraints’, *J. Number Theory*, **45** (1993), 186–199.
- [18] H. Wilf, *Generatingfunctionology*,
<http://www.math.upenn.edu/wilf/DownldGF.html>