

Extension of the Riemann ξ -function's logarithmic derivative positivity region to near the critical strip

Version: 12th August 2004

Kevin A. Broughan

*Department of Mathematics, University of Waikato,
Hamilton, New Zealand
E-mail: kab@waikato.ac.nz*

The inequality

$$\Re \frac{\xi'(\sigma + it)}{\xi(\sigma + it)} > \frac{\xi'(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)}$$

for $t \neq 0$ is extended to the region $\sigma \geq 1 + 1/(\log t - 5)$ for all $t \neq 0$ and for $\sigma \geq 1$ for t sufficiently large or small.

Key Words: Riemann zeta function, xi function, zeta zeros.

MSC2000: 11M26, 11R42.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the paper [3] Lagarias shows that, assuming the Riemann hypothesis,

$$\Re \frac{\xi'(\sigma + it)}{\xi'(\sigma + it)} > \frac{\xi'(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)}$$

for all $\sigma > 1/2$ and for all $t \neq 0$. He also shows that this inequality holds unconditionally in case $\sigma \geq 10$ and remarks that it seems likely the inequality could be established unconditionally for $\sigma > 1 + \epsilon$ for any given fixed positive ϵ “by a finite computation”. Here we derive the inequality unconditionally up to $\sigma = 1$ for t sufficiently small or large, and for mid-range t to $\sigma \geq 1 + 1/(\log |t| - 5)$. This is Theorem 3.1, proved following 5 lemmas. “Sufficiently small” means up-to a value of t which satisfies $|t| \leq \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}\gamma$, where γ is the y-coordinate of the first off critical line non-trivial zero of $\zeta(s)$. “Sufficiently large” means greater than $e^{(e^{16\epsilon_1^3})}$

where c_1 is the absolute constant appearing in the an inequality for the logarithmic derivative of $\zeta(s)$.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

LEMMA 2.1. *There exists an absolute constant c_1 such that for all $\sigma \geq 1$ and all $t \geq t_1 > 0$*

$$\left| \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \right| \leq c_1 (\log t)^{2/3} (\log \log t)^{1/3}.$$

Proof. This follows from Richert [5] or Cheng [1]. See also [6, Section 6.19]. ■

LEMMA 2.2. *For $\sigma > 1$ let*

$$f(\sigma) := \frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} + \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} - \gamma_o.$$

where γ_o is Euler's constant. Then there exists a positive absolute constant c_2 such that

$$-c_2(\sigma - 1) < f(\sigma) < 0,$$

and c_2 can be taken to be $\gamma_o^2 - 2\gamma_1$ where

$$\gamma_1 = - \lim_{N \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{m=2}^N \frac{\log m}{m} - \frac{\log^2 N}{2} \right) = -0.07235..$$

so $c_2 = 0.47789...$

Proof. Write

$$f(\sigma) = \frac{1}{\sigma - 1} - \gamma_o - \sum_{p, m \geq 1} \frac{\log p}{p^{m\sigma}}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} f'(\sigma) &= \sum_{p,m \geq 1} \frac{m \log^2 p}{p^{m\sigma}} - \frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^2} \\ &= \sum_p \log^2 p \sum_{m \geq 1} \frac{m}{(p^\sigma)^m} - \frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^2} \\ &= \sum_p \frac{\log^2 p \cdot p^\sigma}{(p^\sigma - 1)^2} - \frac{1}{(\sigma-1)^2}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} f''(\sigma) &= \frac{2}{(\sigma-1)^3} + \sum_p \frac{\log^3 p \cdot p^\sigma}{(p^\sigma - 1)^2} - 2 \sum_p \frac{\log^3 p \cdot p^\sigma}{(p^\sigma - 1)^3} \\ &= \frac{2}{(\sigma-1)^3} + \frac{\log^3 2 \cdot 2^\sigma (2^\sigma - 3)}{(2^\sigma - 1)^3} + \sum_{p \geq 3} \frac{\log^3 p \cdot p^\sigma (p^\sigma - 3)}{(p^\sigma - 1)^3}. \end{aligned}$$

If $\sigma \geq \log_2 3$ each term is non-negative so $f''(\sigma) > 0$. If $1 < \sigma < \log_2 3$ the sum of the first two terms is positive, so in all cases $f''(\sigma) > 0$. Hence $f(\sigma)$ is concave upwards on $(1, \infty)$.

Now the Laurent expansion of $\zeta(s)$ in the neighborhood of $s = 1$ [2, Theorem 1.4] is

$$\zeta(s) = \frac{1}{s-1} + \gamma_0 + \gamma_1(s-1) + \dots$$

where, for $k \geq 0$

$$\gamma_k = \frac{(-1)^k}{k!} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\sum_{m=1}^n \frac{\log^k m}{m} - \frac{\log^{k+1} n}{k+1} \right).$$

so γ_0 is Euler's constant and $\gamma_1 < 0$. From this it follows that, in a neighborhood of $s = 1$,

$$\frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)} + \frac{1}{\sigma-1} - \gamma_0 = (2\gamma_1 - \gamma_0^2)(s-1) + O((s-1)^2)$$

so $f'(1) = 2\gamma_1 - \gamma_0^2$. Therefore, by the concavity of $f(\sigma)$, $f(\sigma) > (2\gamma_1 - \gamma_0^2)(\sigma-1)$ for $\sigma > 1$.

Now, by continuous extension, $f(1) = 0$ and $f'(1) < 0$. If there was a value $\sigma > 1$ with $f(\sigma) \geq 0$ then, by Rolle's theorem, there would be a value

with $f'(\sigma) = 0$ and so, since $\lim_{\sigma \rightarrow \infty} f(\sigma) = -\gamma_0$, a point with $f''(\sigma) = 0$. But by what we have proved this is impossible. Hence $f(\sigma) < 0$ for all $\sigma > 1$. ■

LEMMA 2.3. *If $1 \leq \sigma < 10$ and $t \geq t_2 > 0$:*

$$\Re \frac{\Gamma'(s/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} - \frac{\Gamma'(\sigma/2)}{\Gamma(\sigma/2)} \geq \log \frac{t}{2} - 2 - \frac{2}{5t^2}.$$

Proof. This follows directly using the asymptotic expression

$$\frac{\Gamma'(z)}{\Gamma(z)} = \log z - \frac{1}{2z} + R, |R| \leq \frac{1}{10|z|^2}, |z| \geq 2, \Re z > 0.$$

and the bound

$$\left| \frac{\Gamma'(\sigma/2)}{\Gamma(\sigma/2)} \right| \leq 2$$

which holds for $1 \leq \sigma \leq 10$. ■

LEMMA 2.4. *Let $\sigma \geq 1$, $0 < \beta < 1/2$, $\gamma > 0$ be real numbers and define*

$$h(t) := \frac{(\gamma - t)^2 + (\sigma - 1/2)^2 - (1/2 - \beta)^2}{((\sigma - \beta)^2 + (\gamma - t)^2)((\sigma + \beta - 1)^2 + (\gamma - t)^2)},$$

and $f(t) := h(t) + h(-t)$. Let $c_4 = \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{2}$. Then for all t with $|t| < c_4\gamma$, $f(t) > f(0)$.

Proof. Define $u := \sigma - 1/2$ and $v := \sigma + \beta - 1$. Then $u > v > 0$ and we can write

$$\begin{aligned} h(t) &= \frac{(\gamma - t)^2 + uv}{((\gamma - t)^2 + u^2)((\gamma - t)^2 + v^2)} \\ &= \frac{1}{u+v} \left(\frac{u}{(\gamma - t)^2 + u^2} + \frac{v}{(\gamma - t)^2 + v^2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f(t) &= h(t) + h(-t) \\ &= \frac{1}{u(u+v)} \left(\frac{1}{(\gamma - t)^2/u^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{(\gamma + t)^2/u^2 + 1} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{v(u+v)} \left(\frac{1}{(\gamma - t)^2/v^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{(\gamma + t)^2/v^2 + 1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$g_\gamma(t) := \frac{1}{(\gamma - t)^2 + 1} + \frac{1}{(\gamma + t)^2 + 1}.$$

Then the derivative

$$g'_\gamma(t) = \frac{4t(-t^4 - 2(1 + \gamma^2)t^2 + (3\gamma^4 + 2\gamma^2 - 1))}{((\gamma - t)^2 + 1)^2((\gamma + t)^2 + 1)^2}$$

and $g'_\gamma(0) = 0$, g'_γ is an odd function of t , and the numerator is positive if

$$0 < t < (\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/4}(2\gamma - (\gamma^2 + 1)^{1/2})^{1/2},$$

or for the slightly smaller but more convenient range $0 < t < \sqrt{2 - \sqrt{2}}\gamma = c_4\gamma$, so $g'_\gamma(t) > 0$ in this range. Hence

$$f'(t) = \frac{1}{u^2(u+v)}g'_{\frac{\gamma}{u}}\left(\frac{t}{u}\right) + \frac{1}{v^2(u+v)}g'_{\frac{\gamma}{v}}\left(\frac{t}{v}\right)$$

is positive for t with $0 < t/u < c_4\gamma/u$ and $0 < t/v < c_4\gamma/v$, that is the same range as before. Therefore $f(0) < f(t)$. But $f(t)$ is even, so the same inequality holds for t negative also. ■

LEMMA 2.5. *Let c_0 be a positive real number representing the y coordinate of the first zeta zero which is off the critical line (assuming such a zero exists). If $0 < t < c_4c_0$ and $1 \leq \sigma < 10$, then*

$$\Re \frac{\xi'(\sigma + it)}{\xi(\sigma + it)} > \frac{\xi'(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)}$$

Proof. With the same notation as in Levinson and Montgomery [4], we can write

$$\Re \frac{\xi'(\sigma + it)}{\xi(\sigma + it)} - \frac{\xi'(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)} = (\sigma - 1/2)(I(\sigma, t) - I(\sigma, 0)), \text{ where}$$

$$I(\sigma, t) = T_o + T_1, \text{ where}$$

$$T_o = \sum_{\beta < 1/2} \left[\frac{(\gamma - t)^2 + (\sigma - 1/2)^2 - (1/2 - \beta)^2}{((\sigma - \beta)^2 + (\gamma - t)^2)((\sigma + \beta - 1)^2 + (\gamma - t)^2)} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{\gamma^2 + (\sigma - 1/2)^2 - (1/2 - \beta)^2}{((\sigma - \beta)^2 + \gamma^2)((\sigma + \beta - 1)^2 + \gamma^2)} \right]$$

$$T_1 = \sum_{\beta=1/2} \left[\frac{1}{(\sigma - 1/2)^2 + (t - \gamma)^2} \right. \\ \left. - \frac{1}{(\sigma - 1/2)^2 + \gamma^2} \right].$$

The proof of Lagarias [3], assuming the Riemann Hypothesis, shows that $T_1 > 0$ whether or not the Riemann hypothesis is assumed to be true. Lemma 2.4 shows that, since each $\gamma \geq c_0$, each term in the sum is positive for $t < c_4 c_0$ so the Lemma follows directly. \blacksquare

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 3.1

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $1 \leq \sigma < 10$ and $t \neq 0$. Then there exist absolute constants c_1, c_2 so that (unconditionally) for $\{\sigma + it : |t| \leq c_1, 1 \leq \sigma < 10\}$ or $\{\sigma + it : |t| \geq c_2, 1 \leq \sigma < 10\}$ or $\{\sigma + it : \log |t| \geq 5 + 1/(\sigma - 1), 1 < \sigma < 10\}$, we have*

$$\Re \frac{\xi'(\sigma + it)}{\xi(\sigma + it)} > \Re \frac{\xi'(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)}$$

Proof. Let $s = \sigma + it$ and $1 < \sigma$. Then since

$$\xi(s) = s(s-1)\pi^{-s/2}\Gamma(s/2)\zeta(s)/2,$$

we can write

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta_0 &:= \Re \frac{\xi'(s)}{\xi(s)} - \frac{\xi'(\sigma)}{\xi(\sigma)} = \Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_3 + \Delta_4, \text{ where} \\ \Delta_1 &:= -\frac{t^2}{\sigma(\sigma^2 + t^2)}, \\ \Delta_2 &:= -\frac{t^2}{(\sigma - 1)((\sigma - 1)^2 + t^2)} - \frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)}, \\ \Delta_3 &:= \Re \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}, \\ \Delta_4 &:= \frac{1}{2} \left(\Re \frac{\Gamma'(s/2)}{\Gamma(s/2)} - \frac{\Gamma'(\sigma/2)}{\Gamma(\sigma/2)} \right).\end{aligned}$$

Firstly $\Delta_1 > -1/\sigma$. From Lemma 2.2 it follows that

$$\Delta_2 \geq -\gamma_0 + \frac{\sigma - 1}{t}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 we can write

$$\Delta_3 = \Re \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} \geq -c_1 (\log t)^{\frac{2}{3}} (\log \log t)^{\frac{1}{3}}.$$

By Lemma 2.3 we can write

$$\Delta_4 = \log t - c_5 \theta$$

for some small positive constant c_5 (we can take $c_5 = 4$) and real θ with $|\theta| < 1$.

Hence $\Delta_0 > 0$ if

$$\log t - c_1 (\log t)^{2/3} (\log \log t)^{1/3} > 4,$$

This is true if and only if

$$1 - c_1 \left(\frac{\log \log t}{\log t} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} > \frac{4}{\log t}.$$

If we assume $t \geq t_3 := e^8$ then $1 - 4/\log t \geq 1/2$, so with this restriction we require

$$\frac{\log \log t}{\log t} < \frac{1}{8c_1^3}$$

This inequality holds if $t \geq t_4 := e^{(e^{16c_4^3})}$.

So provided $\gamma_0 c_4 \geq t_4$ the two regions $(0, \gamma_0 c_4], [t_4, \infty)$ overlap and the Lagarias inequality holds for all $\sigma > 1$. If however $t_4 > \gamma_0 c_4$ we argue differently. First let

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta'_2 &:= -\frac{t^2}{(\sigma-1)((\sigma-1)^2+t^2)}, \\ \Delta'_3 &:= \Re \frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)} - \frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)}, \text{ so} \\ \Delta_0 &= \Delta_1 + \Delta'_2 + \Delta'_3 + \Delta_4.\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\frac{\zeta'(s)}{\zeta(s)}| \leq -\frac{\zeta'(\sigma)}{\zeta(\sigma)}$, $\Delta'_3 \geq 0$ for all $t \geq 0$. Therefore

$$\Delta_0 > -\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{1}{\sigma-1} + \log t - 4,$$

so $\Delta_0 > 0$ if $\log t > 4 + 1/\sigma + 1/(\sigma-1)$ and this is true if $\log t > 5 + 1/(\sigma-1)$. The best uniform value of σ which may be obtained using this method is given approximately by

$$\sigma_0 = 1 + \frac{1}{\log c_4 c_0 - 5}.$$

■

If we assume $c_4 c_0 = 10^8$ this leads to $\sigma_0 = 14/13$. Strengthening of the above approach to the Lagarias problem requires the derivation of a good explicit value for the constant c_1 (compare [1]) and knowledge of the best current value value for c_0 (currently 3.2×10^9) [7].

REFERENCES

1. Y. Cheng, *An explicit upper bound for the Riemann Zeta-function near the line $\sigma = 1$* . Rocky Mountain J. Math. **29** (1999), p115-140.
2. A. Ivić, *The Riemann Zeta-function. Theory and Applications.*, Dover, 1985.
3. J. C. Lagarias, *On a positivity property of the Riemann ξ -function*. Acta Arithmetica LXXXIX.3 (1999), p217-234.
4. Levinson, N. and Montgomery, H. L. *Zeros of the derivatives of the Riemann zeta function*. Acta Math. **133** (1974), p49-65.
5. H. E. Richert, *Zur Auehätzung der Riemannschen Zetafunktion in der Nähe der Vertikalen $\sigma = 1$* . Math. Ann. **169**, (1967), p97-101.
6. Titchmarsh, E.C. as revised by Heath-Brown, D.R. *The theory of the Riemann Zeta-function*. Second Ed. Oxford, 1986.
7. S. Wedeniwski, *ZetaGrad website: <http://www.zetagrid.net/zeta/math/zeta.result.100billion.zeros.html>*, Section 1.1 History.